## Message

From: Smith, Darcie [Smith.Darcie@epa.gov]

Sent: 2/26/2019 4:59:19 PM

To: Fry, Jessica [fry.jessica@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: EtO/NATA question

Hi again -

## Nonresponsive based on revised scope

Thanks, Darcie

Darcie Smith U.S. EPA/OAQPS/ATAG

919.541.2076

⊠ smith.darcie@epa.gov

From: Fry, Jessica

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2019 9:29 AM To: Smith, Darcie <Smith.Darcie@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EtO/NATA question

## Nonresponsive based on revised scope

I appreciate the help!

From: Smith, Darcie

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2019 9:16 AM To: Fry, Jessica <fry.jessica@epa.gov> Subject: RE: EtO/NATA question

Hi Jessie -

and Union Carbide So Charleston are in that bin. Also, Nonresponsive based on revised scope Let me check on getting you actual numbers.

Thanks,

Darcie

Darcie Smith U.S. EPA/OAQPS/ATAG 919.541.2076

From: Fry, Jessica

**Sent:** Thursday, February 21, 2019 9:33 AM **To:** Smith, Darcie < <u>Smith.Darcie@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: EtO/NATA question

Hi Darcie,

I know I have emailed you before about this, but is there any way to get the final NATA block level risks for facilities? We've been asked to look at the facilities that had over a 1000 in a million risk at the block level, and from the latest file I have (shows the V2 block level risks) we have two facilities and Union Carbide – South Charleston) that were over 1000 in a million cancer risk. I know when the final NATA was released, Union Carbide – South Charleston wasn't considered as one of our priority facilities for EtO, and I just wanted to see if the final block level risks had changed and were lower.

Thank you!
Jessie

Jessica Fry Chamberlin
Office of Air Monitoring & Analysis (3AP40)
Air Protection Division
USEPA Region 3
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (215) 814-2122 Fax: (215) 814-2114

Email: fry.jessica@epa.gov